



Research Department

Evaluation on Faculty Co-authorship

Data Privacy Consent:

In submitting this form, I agree to my details being used and accessed only by the Research Department Staff for Undergraduate Student Research under of the Student Research Program/Unit. I understand that my personal data (in hard copy) will be held securely, filed properly, and kept in secure location of the department and my personal data (in soft copy) will be shared in Google Drive and only the RD Staff have the access to view and download it. This information may be shared through Accrediting Organization (PACUCOA, ISO, etc.) and will not be distributed to third parties.

I further understand that I have the right to withdraw my consent at any time, without affecting the lawfulness of processing based on consent before its withdrawal. I also understand that when this information is no longer required for the stated purpose (annually), the department's procedure will be followed to dispose of my data.

I agree

A research adviser, due to his/her intellectual contribution, may be acknowledged as a co-author in student research work, so long as s/he has invested sufficient time and technical support in the preparation of the said student research. A set of evaluative criteria is designed so the prospective co-author (research adviser) may not use this provision as a means of simply crediting a student publication to his/her name. Having said that, Evaluation on Faculty Co-authorship must be accomplished by the student-researcher/s at the end of the AY and the *general average should be **Strongly Agree** for the research adviser to become a co-author of the research group.*

The co-authors (the student(s) and the research adviser) shall be known as the original owners of the copyright. The research adviser/co-author can present the paper during local or international conferences. Publication to local or international journal is also allowed. Further, the research adviser may credit the published work to his/her name as its co-author.

Group no.: _____

Title of the Study: _____

Name of Adviser: _____

Direction: Evaluate the adviser's contribution to student research work using the following scale:

1-Strongly Disagree 2-Disagree 3-Neutral 4-Agree 5-Strongly Agree

Evaluative Criteria	Rating	Remarks
1. Assists in the identification of research topics/titles		
2. Imparts knowledge in defining research problem(s) and formulating hypotheses or assumptions		
3. Provides technical expertise in the development of research paper (i.e. design of conceptual framework and methodology and instrumentation, discussion and analysis of results, and crafting of conclusions and recommendations)		
4. Carefully reviews all the aspects/chapters of the research study		
5. Ensures that the research paper adheres to the tenets of research ethics, including respect for and protection of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)		
6. Thoroughly helps in the proper conduct of research through regular and close monitoring of research progress		
7. Provides moral support or guidance while the group is working on the research study		





Research Department

General Average: _____
Interpretation: _____

Interpretation:

- 1.00 – 1.50 – Strongly Disagree
 - 1.51 – 2.50 – Disagree
 - 2.51 – 3.50 – Neutral
 - 3.51 – 4.50 – Agree
 - 4.51 – 5.00 – Strongly Agree
-

Name of Student-Researcher
(signature over printed name)

Name of Student-Researcher
(signature over printed name)

Name of Student-Researcher
(signature over printed name)

Name of Student-Researcher
(signature over printed name)

